# Somatic mutations in tumors and normal tissues





Nuria Lopez-Bigas **ICREA Research Professor** Institute for Research in Biomedicine (IRB) Barcelona

@nlbigas





 Identifying Cancer Drivers Understanding Neutral Mutagenesis Chemotherapy effect in hematopoiesis Cancer Promotion

- Identifying Clonal Hematopoiesis Drivers

 Identifying Cancer Drivers Understanding Neutral Mutagenesis Identifying Clonal Hematopoiesis Drivers Chemotherapy effect in hematopoiesis Cancer Promotion

Which are the genes that drive tumorigenesis upon mutations?

# Accumulated literature on cancer genes for decades



Montserrat monastery Library

**TP53** 



### Can we find cancer genes directly from tumors data?

<u>\*\*</u> <u>\*\*</u>\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 

Thousands of tumor genomes sequenced

_	_	_
ľ	İ	İ
p	İ	İ
ľ	İ	İ
p	İ	İ
p	İ	İ
ĥ	İ	İ
p	İ	İ
p	İ	İ
p	İ	İ
p	İ	İ
p	İ	İ
p	İ	İ
ľ	İ	İ
p	Ť	İ
p	Ť	İ
ľ	İ	İ

Selection Variation

Drivers confer selective advantage to the cell

# Tumor development follows Darwinian Evolution

## Identifying signals of positive selection is an effective way to find cancer drivers





Identify genes mutated more frequently than the background mutation rate

Identify genes with a significant regional clustering of mutations

Identify genes with a bias towards high functional mutations (FM bias)



## Identifying signals of positive selection is an effective way to find cancer drivers



OncodriveFML - OncodriveCLUSTL - dNdSCV - cBase - Mutpanning - Hotmaps - SMregions

Identify genes mutated more frequently than the background mutation rate

Identify genes with a significant regional clustering of mutations

Identify genes with a bias towards high functional mutations (FM bias)



# The Compendium of Mutational Cancer Driver Genes



28,076 Tumors · 221 cohorts · 66 Cancer Types · 203,003,747 Mutations

Mutation Pattern Analysis to identify Cancer Genes

### This approach recovers most known cancer genes and also identify new ones

http://www.intogen.org

Martínez-Jimenez et al. Nature Reviews Cancer 2020

MENI GNAII SRGAP3 MYHII NOTCH2 MAP2KI CDHII DNMT3A RUNXI ARIDIB TGFBR2 GNAQ ZFHX3 FGFR2 ELF3 MYD88 PTPN11 AXINI FGFR3 PPP2R1APTPRB GNAS CASP8 MAP3KI KEAP1 SMARCA4 CREBBP HRAS BIRC6 SGK1 

 KMT2A
 NOTCH1
 SGK1

 RCC2
 NF2
 VHLNF1
 SMAD4
 CDH1
 FAM46C

 RNF43
 PBRM1
 ATM
 PP6C
 U2AF1

 Y8
 IDH2
 RB1
 PIK3CA
 APC
 PTEN
 TSC1
 CCND1

 Y8
 IDH2
 RB1
 PIK3CA
 APC
 PTEN
 TSC1
 CCND1

ERCC2 NF2 TSC2 MAP2K4 FAT4 CIC BRAF KRAS NRAS SETD2 RBM10 STK11 SPOP EZH2 LRP1B CTNNB1 SF3B1 BRCA2 CTCF FAT3IDH1 <sup>B2M</sup>TRRAP BTG1 BAP1 FBXW7 KIT FAT1 IRF4 ATRX MED12 GTF2I CDKN1A ASXL1 GATA3 PIK3R1 RET FOXA1 FLT3 STAG2 ERBB3 KDM6A PTPRD MAX HLA-A NBEA SPEN AMER1 FAM135B PREX2 DDX3X BCL2 PRDM1 COM PRKCB TCF7L2 SOX9 CDKN1B MYH9 CYLD RAC1 PABPC1 LZTR1 POLQ ACVR2A

> 568 Cancer Genes across 66 Cancer Types



Creative Commons Zero Public Domain Dedication





# Most mutations in cancer genes are of uncertain significance



Which mutations in these cancer genes are capable of driving tumorigenesis?

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Driver mutations**

**BoostDM:** Learns feature combinations that define driver mutations in each gene/tumor type

![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Passenger mutations**

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Driver mutations**

Mutations observed in cancer genes in human tumors are enriched for drivers

**BoostDM:** Learns feature combinations that define driver mutations in each gene/tumor type

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

### **Passenger mutations**

![](_page_13_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Driver mutations**

Mutations observed in cancer genes in human tumors are enriched for drivers

**BoostDM:** Learns feature combinations that define driver mutations in each gene/tumor type

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

### **Passenger mutations**

Simulate neutral mutagenesis to create mutations enriched for passengers

![](_page_14_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_10.jpeg)

### 

28,076 Tumors · 221 cohorts · 66 Cancer Types · 203,003,747 Somatic Mutations

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

**BoostDM:** Learns feature combinations that define driver mutations in each gene/tumor type

> •Gene-tissue specific models Interpretable models

> > 185 high quality models

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_9.jpeg)

# In Silico Saturation Mutagenesis of Cancer Genes

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

3D clusters tumor 3D clusters pan-cancer Linear clusters tumor Linear clusters pan-cancer Domain enrichment tumor Domain enrichment pan-cancer Conservation Post-translational modification Missense Nonsense

More blueprints at http://intogen.org/boostdm

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Most mutations in cancer genes are of uncertain significance

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Most mutations in cancer genes are of uncertain significance

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

OncoKb

**BoostDM** 

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

### CANCER GENOME INTERPRETER

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

Identifies potentially oncogenomic alterations

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

Flags genomic biomarkers of drug response with different levels of clinical relevance

ALTERATIONS PRESCRIPTIONS								
Mutations								
Show entries with: Verticities with as drivers Verticities with oncogenic annotations Verticities Verticities of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of the states of t								
Sample ID	Gene	Protein Change	Oncogenicity (?)	Mutation	Consequence	Oncog ≡		
Search here								
TCGA-49-4494-01A-01D-	<u>EGFR</u>	T790M	driver	chr7:55249071 C>T	missense variant	00		
TCGA-49-4494-01A-01D-	EGFR	L858R	driver	chr7:55259515 T>G	missense variant	0		
TCGA-49-4494-01A-01D-	MGA	E2115*	driver	chr15:42042148 G>T	stop gained			
TCGA-49-4494-01A-01D-	LRP1B	R851P	driver	chr2:141751656 C>G	missense variant			
TCGA-49-4494-01A-01D-	LRPPRC	splice acceptor variant	driver	chr2:44204416 C>A	splice acceptor variant			
TCGA-49-4494-01A-01D-	<u>RBM10</u>	splice donor variant	driver	chrX:47034492 G>T	splice donor variant			
TCGA-49-4494-01A-01D-	ARHGAP21	E724*	<u>passenger</u>	chr10:24908654 C>A	stop gained			

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

### http://www.cancergenomeinterpreter.org

# Clinical Implementation

Data-driven cancer genome interpretation for personalized cancer treatment

HORIZON-HLTH-2021-CARE-05 5 years EU project, started November 2022

# **CGI-Clinics** Cancer Genome Interpreter

### https://www.cgiclinics.eu/

### CGI-Clinics is an international multidisciplinary project with 17 partners involving biologists, bioinformaticians, oncologists, patients,...

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

www.cgiclinics.eu

30 hospitals in implementation phase (from 2026)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

Identifying Cancer Drivers Understanding Neutral Mutagenesis Cancer Promotion

# Identifying Clonal Hematopoiesis Drivers Chemotherapy effect in hematopoiesis

# Modeling neutral mutagenesis

# Modeling neutral mutagenesis

### - Variable mutation rate along the genome

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Modeling neutral mutagenesis

### - Variable mutation rate along the genome

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

# - Different probability for different sequence context (mutational signatures)

![](_page_25_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Mutational signatures of cancer treatments

### **DNA damaging agent**

Chemotherapy

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

### e.g. alkylating agents

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_7.jpeg)

# Mutational signation

### **DNA damaging agent**

Chemotherapy

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

### e.g. alkylating agents

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Interplay between DNA damage and DNA repair

# DNA damage and replication errors Smoking Chemical agents UV rays

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Interplay between DNA damage and DNA repair and chromatin Chromatin conformation **DNA** repair DNA damage and replication errors Smoking Chemical agents UV rays

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Mutation rate variability at local scale

High mutation rate in TFBS due to impaired **Nucleotide Excision Repair** 

Sabarinathan et al., Nature 2016

### Differential mismatch repair leads to reduced mutation rate in exons

Frigola et al., Nature Genetics 2017

![](_page_30_Picture_6.jpeg)

Pich et al., Cell 2018

![](_page_30_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_10.jpeg)

# How well do we estimate mutation rate at singlenucleotide resolution?

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

Identification of somatic mutation hotspots across 7,507 whole genomes

Which processes create hotspots? Can we predict those with current models?

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

Claudia Arnedo

![](_page_31_Picture_8.jpeg)

Arnedo et al., BioRxiv 2022 (under review)

![](_page_31_Picture_10.jpeg)

# SBS1 and SBS17a, b have the highest propensity to hotspot formation

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

Hotspot = 2 o more samples with the same mutation

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

Arnedo et al., BioRxiv 2022 (under review)

SBS1 SBS2 SBS3 SBS4 SBS5 SBS7a SBS7b SBS7b SBS13 SBS13 SBS17b SBS17a SBS17a SBS18 SBS18 SBS18

# Large proportion of hotspots remain unexplained

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

Arnedo et al., BioRxiv 2022 (under review)

SBS2 SBS13 SBS7b SBS5 SBS3 SBS40

- Expected genome-wide model Expected 1 Mbp model Expected 500 Kbp model
  - Expected 250 Kbp model

- Expected 100 Kbp model
- Expected 50 Kbp model
- Expected 25 Kbp model
- Expected 10 Kbp model
- Unexplained

SBS2 SBS3 SBS4 SBS5 SBS7a SBS7b SBS8 SBS13 SBS17b SBS17a **SBS18** SBS40 SBS93

# High proportion of hotspots in methylated CpGs

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Arnedo et al., BioRxiv 2022 (under review)

# Genome-wide distribution of methylated CpGs sites can explain most of SBS1 hotspot propensity

Expected methylation-informed models

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

Arnedo et al., BioRxiv 2022 (under review)

Expected models

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Beyond cancer: high SBS1 hotspot propensity in normal tissues and germline variants

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

Arnedo et al., BioRxiv 2022 (under review)

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

Identifying Cancer Drivers Understanding Neutral Mutagenesis Identifying Clonal Hematopoiesis Drivers Chemotherapy effect in hematopoiesis Cancer Promotion

## Clonal expansions in normal tissue

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

Jaiswal and Ebert Science 2019

# Identification of clonal hematopoiesis driver genes and driver mutations

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

https://www.intogen.org/ch/

CH driver genes

CH driver mutations

BoostDM

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

CANCER GENOME INTERPRETER

### **Clinical interpretation** of CH mutations

![](_page_39_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_11.jpeg)

## Exploiting cancer genomics data to identify blood somatic mutations

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

Pich et al., Nature Comms 2022

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Discovering Clonal Hematopoiesis Driver Genes**

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

19,202 Tumors · 3 cohorts

Mutation Pattern Analysis to identify Cancer Genes

Pich et al., Nat Comms 2022

SDHAF2 **TMEM127** PABPC1 EPHA3 RAD21 KMT2D DNMT3B MDM4 NF1 ERCC2 JAK2 ATM RET TP53 MYCN TET2 AFF3 SUZ12 PTPRD s<sup>IDH2</sup>CHEK2 DNMT3A KRAS CBLKMT2C ZRSR2 EZH2 GNAS ASXL1 AR PPM1D SF3B1 U2AF1 NRAS STAG2 STAT3 SRSF2 ABL2 ARID2 MYD88 CALR CTCF PPARG MKL1 **RUNX1** 

> 64 Clonal Hematopoiesis Driver Genes (All known + new candidates)

![](_page_41_Picture_7.jpeg)

http://www.intogen.org/ch

![](_page_41_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_3.jpeg)

Abel Gonzalez-Perez Ferran Muiños

Santi Demajo Joan Enric Ramis

Identification of Clonal Hematopoiesis Driver Mutations through In Silico Saturation Mutagenesis

BoostDM

![](_page_42_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_9.jpeg)

### In silico saturation mutagenesis of **DNMT3A**

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

### All predicted DNMT3A drivers:

missense & nonsense mutations in two functional domains and two clusters

### Explanation **Observed DNMT3A drivers**

![](_page_43_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_8.jpeg)

### Finding CH mutations in 200,000 individuals (UK Biobank)

Potential CH mutations are associated with age but show a high rate of false positives

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

Whole exome sequencing: DeepVariant filtered by AD > 3, VAF < 0.30, non-synonymous, SNVs, low gnomAD,...

Some method to select specific mutations is needed to eliminate false positive mutations

### Only CH mutations predicted as drivers by BoostDM-CH highly correlate with age

Potential CH mutations in 12 CH genes 6 Normalized proportion of CH 5 4 3 2 38-45 46-50

Identification of driver mutations from the potential CH mutations by **BoostDM-CH** 

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_4.jpeg)

**BoostDM-CH drivers** n = 2,298 (~30%)

**BoostDM-CH non-drivers**  $n = 5,311 (\sim 70\%)$ 

Logistic regressions: **Drivers** p-val = 6e-72Non-drivers p-val = *n*s

### Only CH mutations predicted as drivers by BoostDM-CH are associated with an increased risk of hematological cancer

Myeloid cancer risk (Kaplan-meier curves)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_4.jpeg)

Identifying Cancer Drivers Understanding Neutral Mutagenesis Identifying Clonal Hematopoiesis Drivers Chemotherapy effect in hematopoiesis Cancer Promotion

# Treatment-related Acute Myeloid Leukemia (tAML)

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

Pich et al., Nature Communications 2021

Leukemia

![](_page_48_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_8.jpeg)

Abel Gonzalez-Perez

![](_page_48_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

Pich et al., Nature Communications 2021

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

Chemotherapy mutational signatures as barcode to time clonal expansion before or after treatment

Pich et al., Nature Communications 2021

# Identifying blood somatic mutations by **Reverse Mutation Calling**

![](_page_53_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

3,785 patients (metastasis cohort) (1,766 treated with cytotoxic therapies)

![](_page_53_Figure_4.jpeg)

Pich et al., Nature Communications 2021

# Identifying blood somatic mutations by Reverse Mutation Calling

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

3,785 patients (metastasis cohort) (1,766 treated with cytotoxic therapies)

![](_page_54_Figure_4.jpeg)

Pich et al., Nature Communications 2021

### We find HSC signature

Hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) signature in healthy blood (cos similarity = 0.96)

![](_page_54_Figure_8.jpeg)

# Identifying blood somatic mutations by Reverse Mutation Calling

![](_page_55_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_2.jpeg)

3,785 patients (metastasis cohort) (1,766 treated with cytotoxic therapies)

![](_page_55_Figure_4.jpeg)

Pich et al., Nature Communications 2021

### We find HSC signature

Hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) signature in healthy blood (cos similarity = 0.96)

![](_page_55_Figure_8.jpeg)

# In contrast we do not find the chemotherapy mutational signatures

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

Pich et al., Nature Communications 2021

Chemotherapy is associated with clonal hematopoiesis with preference for mutations in certain genes

![](_page_56_Figure_4.jpeg)

Bolton et al., Nature Genetics 2020 (Papaemmanuil lab)

![](_page_56_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Chemotherapy selects preexisting clones with specific mutations

Pich et al., Nature Communications 2021

Chemotherapy is associated with clonal hematopoiesis with preference for mutations in certain genes

![](_page_57_Figure_5.jpeg)

Bolton et al., Nature Genetics 2020 (Papaemmanuil lab)

![](_page_57_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Evolution of hematopoietic cells under cancer therapy

Population with mutated PPM1D

![](_page_58_Picture_2.jpeg)

Clonal heterogeneity in bone marrow

![](_page_58_Picture_4.jpeg)

Treatment period

Pich et al., Nature Communications 2021

t-AML derives from cell expansion after treatment

![](_page_58_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_9.jpeg)

Preferential CH in patients exposed to chemotherapies, favoring particular pre-existing clones

![](_page_58_Picture_11.jpeg)

Identifying Cancer Drivers Understanding Neutral Mutagenesis Identifying Clonal Hematopoiesis Drivers Chemotherapy effect in hematopoiesis Cancer Promotion

### Driver mutations are necessary

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Driver mutations are necessary but not sufficient

### 24-27 years old

### 52–55 years old

![](_page_61_Figure_3.jpeg)

72–75 years old

Mutant cell colonization of healthy esophageal epithelium with age

Martincorena Genome Biology 2019 Martincorena et al., Science 2015 Martincorena et al., Science 2018

### Driver mutations and clonal expansions in normal tissue

# Many carcinogens are not mutagens

![](_page_62_Picture_1.jpeg)

# The mutational signature profile of known and suspected human carcinogens in mice

Laura Riva<sup>1,5</sup>, Arun R. Pandiri<sup>2,5</sup>, Yun Rose Li<sup>3,5</sup>, Alastair Droop<sup>1</sup>, James Hewinson<sup>1</sup>, Michael A. Quail<sup>1</sup>, Vivek Iyer<sup>1</sup>, Rebecca Shepherd<sup>1</sup>, Ronald A. Herbert<sup>2</sup>, Peter J. Campbell<sup>1</sup>, Robert C. Sills<sup>2</sup>, Ludmil B. Alexandrov<sup>1</sup>, Allan Balmain<sup>1</sup>, <sup>3,6</sup> and David J. Adams<sup>1,6</sup>

![](_page_62_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Many carcinogens are not mutagens

Classical model а

![](_page_63_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_5.jpeg)

Alternative model b

![](_page_63_Picture_8.jpeg)

driver mutations caused by endogenous processes

N&V about Riva et al., Nature Genetics 2020

Abel Gonzalez-Perez

Lopez-Bigas and Gonzalez-Perez. N&V Nature Genetics 2020

![](_page_63_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_14.jpeg)

# CANCER GRAND CHALLENGES

### Driving progress through unprecedented collaboration

Cancer Grand Challenges is a global funding initiative founded by Cancer Research UK and the National Cancer Institute. We set ambitious challenges, providing diverse, global teams with £20m to come together, think differently, with the aim to make the progress against cancer the world urgently needs.

### Normal phenotypes

CHALLENGE:

Understand how cells and tissues maintain "normal" phenotypes whilst harbouring oncogenic mutations and how they transition to become a tumour

![](_page_64_Picture_6.jpeg)

# How does exposure to promoters change the normal tissue to eventually lead to cancer?

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_2.jpeg)

Normal tissue with an emerging clone with driver mutations

![](_page_65_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_5.jpeg)

# How does exposure to promoters change the normal tissue to eventually lead to cancer?

![](_page_66_Figure_1.jpeg)

Detect emerging clones with driver mutations in normal tissue of individuals exposed and non-exposed to a promoter Normal tissue with an emerging clone with driver mutations

![](_page_66_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_5.jpeg)

# How does exposure to promoters change the normal tissue to eventually lead to cancer?

![](_page_67_Figure_1.jpeg)

Detect emerging clones with driver mutations in normal tissue of individuals exposed and non-exposed to a promoter

- Spatial proteomics/transcriptomics with in situ mutation detection

![](_page_67_Picture_6.jpeg)

• Deep mutagenesis to identify emerging clones

• Single cell profiling with clone genotyping

![](_page_68_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Two stage model of carcinogenesis Initiation + Promotion

### Berenblum and Shubik, 1947

"....the initiating process represents a sudden and irreversible change in a small minority of the cells of the treated area, giving rise to isolated "latent tumour cells" ..... "The presence of these latent tumour cells is only demonstrable by subsequent promoting action, which converts them into morphological tumours."

![](_page_68_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_0.jpeg)

# PROMINENT TEAM

### **Co-Leaders:**

![](_page_69_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Allan BALMAIN**

![](_page_69_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **Co-investigators:**

![](_page_69_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Kim RHOADS**

![](_page_69_Picture_10.jpeg)

University of California San Francisco

![](_page_69_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_13.jpeg)

### **Marc GUNTER**

International Agency for Research on Cancer

![](_page_69_Picture_16.jpeg)

World Health Organization

![](_page_69_Picture_18.jpeg)

### **Paul BRENNAN**

International Agency for Research on Cancer

![](_page_69_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_22.jpeg)

### **Nuria LOPEZ-BIGAS**

![](_page_69_Picture_24.jpeg)

### Luke GILBERT

UCSF University of California San Francisco

![](_page_69_Picture_27.jpeg)

### **Calvin J KUO**

Stanford University

### **Emma LUNDBERG**

![](_page_69_Picture_31.jpeg)

Stanford University

![](_page_69_Picture_33.jpeg)

### **Chris COUNTER**

![](_page_69_Picture_35.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_36.jpeg)

# Thanks to: Those who did the work

![](_page_70_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_4.jpeg)

INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN BIOMEDICINE

### Those who generate and share data

![](_page_70_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_9.jpeg)

And many others

### Funding agencies

![](_page_70_Picture_12.jpeg)

española contra el cáncer

![](_page_70_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_16.jpeg)

Secretaria d'Universitats i Recerca

![](_page_70_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_19.jpeg)

DE CIENCIA E INNOVACIÓN

![](_page_70_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_23.jpeg)

European Commission

Horizon 2020 European Union funding for Research & Innovation

![](_page_70_Picture_26.jpeg)